

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 10/633,485 07/31/2003 Matthew W. Holt 02708.0014.NPUS01 6408 **EXAMINER** 7590 03/11/2005 MICHAEL BELL LEPISTO, RYAN A HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2402 2883

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Applicant(s)   HOLT ET AL						
Examiner   Ryan Lepisto   2883   2	•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Ryan Lepisto   2883	Office A - 41 O		10/633,485	HOLT ET AL.		
Provided for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensives for many be evaluate under the provides of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed from the provided under the provides of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed from the provided devent in the part of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed from the provided devent in the provided for reply specified above is less than thiny (20) days, a reply within the stabilizery minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, its maximum statutory period will apple as (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provided by the Communication of the communication of the provided by the communication of the communication of the provided by the communication of t		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Set of the Communication and an internal provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - set of the provision of the provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - set of the provision of the provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - set of the provision of the provisions of the mainthing of the provision of the prov			* *	1		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extencious of time may be available under the provisions of 32 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled offer SV. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply sectified shows it less than this (70) divery, a reply within the stuticing minimum of this (20) say will be considered timely.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. 0, \$133). Any reply received by the Office later than three median faft the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any cancer patient term edipastment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 31 July 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  7) The proving of the specification is objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) All b) Some 1 Claim (s) Copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  **Notice of Internation (PCTO-182)**  **International Copi	Period fo		pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 July 2003.  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)□ Claim(s) is/are ejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4pplication Papers  9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1430 or PTO/SB06)  *Disclosure Statent Application (PTO-152)	THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive properties of the period for the properties of the mailing the period for the properties of the period for the properties of the prope	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58)08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status			<u>;</u>		
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:	1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	l <u>uly 2003</u> .	•		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Ctaims  4)	2a)[_]	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
A) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-143) Paper No(s)/Mall Date  Paper No(s)/Mall Date  1) □ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB00)	3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(e)/Mail Date		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the critified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	1.	:		
Solution (S) 1-22 is/are rejected.    Claim(s)	-	<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.</li> </ul>				
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mall Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	i		
10)  The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicat	ion Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	:		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)🖂					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			or the defined copies flot receive	<b>u.</b>		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	t(s)		·		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
			Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
				atent Application (FTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/633,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 2883

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings/Specification**

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 730, 740, 750, 760, 770.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "100" has been used to designate both bonding agent and epoxy seal.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. Claim 21 recites the limitation "first, second conductive tube and conductive housing." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 22 recites the limitation "sleeve." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The claims would overcome this rejection if claim 21 was dependent on claim 20 and if claim 22 was dependent on claim 21, which is the pattern for similar claims 17 and 18. For the sake of this action, the office will apply art to the applicant's invention as if claim 21 is dependent on claim 20 and claim 22 being dependent on claim 21.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gould (US 4,547,774). Gould teaches a cable seal to seal against fluid brine in a first, high pressure environment from penetrating a second, low pressure environment inside a cable housing (Fig. 1, 3-4, column 1 lines 25-35) comprising a metallized nickel-steel alloy layer (53) on a plurality of glass (silica is a well know glass) fibers (51) contained in a buffer core (52) (column 3 line 40 and 62-64) and a fluorinated bonding agent (54) seal disposed on the metallized nickel-steel alloy layer (53) and configured to seal a portion of the cable against the passage of fluids (column 3 lines 45-46, 59-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,485

Art Unit: 2883

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-5, 9-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gould.
- 6. With regard to **claims 4-5, 15 and 19**:

Gould teaches the cable seal used in a system for transmission of data between low and high pressure environments (column 1 lines 26-30) with the limitations described above used to reject claims 1-3 and 6-8. Gould also teaches the communication cable having a first region (Fig. 1, region between where the layer 58a ends and where the fibers 28 and 23a emerge from the layer 57a) wherein at least some of the fibers (51) have a coating (part of 57a), a second region (Fig. 1, region right of where tube (57a) ends and fibers (28, 23a) emerge) wherein at least some of the fibers have substantially no additional coating (column 3 lines 6-7), a third region (region that includes sheath (58a) that partially enters housing (10a) wherein at least some of the fibers have a second coating (part of 58a), the seal (54) described above that extends partly into the first and second regions (part of 57a and 58a) and an electro-optical module (column 5 lines 12-13) within the low pressure environment inside the

Application/Control Number: 10/633,485

Art Unit: 2883

cable seal coupled to the fibers (Fig. 1 shows light coupled out of the ends of fibers (51, 23a, 28) to the electro-optic components).

Gould does not teach expressly the seal layer is an epoxy.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the bonding layer, the epoxy layer (53) instead of metallized layer (54) since epoxy would adhere to silicone rubber elastomer layer (52) so the applicant's condition concerning the bonding layer is meet as stated on page 4 of the specification (other (nonmetallic or metallic) materials may be suitable for forming the bonding layer as long as it sufficiently forms a seal with a desired level of pressure resistance) and, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

The motivation for doing so would have been prevent microbends in the optical fibers by using the cured epoxy to conform precisely to the buffered fibers (column 3 lines 54-56).

## 7. With regard to **claims 9-14**:

Gould teaches the cable seal described above with the limitation used to reject claims 1-3 and 6-8, which includes the inherent method of forming the above structure with the mentioned materials.

Gould does not teach expressly removing an amount of an overlayer on the core material to expose a surface to which a bonding layer may be applied or placing a region of the cable in a mold.

Gould does teach curing the epoxy to bond to layer (52) so it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention that the curing took place for a sufficient time to allow for bonding.

The motivation for doing so would have been to increase durability by creating a liquid tight seal.

Also, at the time the invention was made, it would obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to not remove an overlayer on the core material if there was no overlayer material on the core to begin with as it stated in the applicant's specification. Applicant has not disclosed that removing an overlayer provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Specially, the applicant teaches on page 4 that the step of removing an overlayer may be regard as removing nothing. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with cable seal as taught by Gould because Gould does not teach an overlayer so there is no need to remove anything.

The motivation would have been to reduce production time and complexity by removing a step and the time and energy need to complete the removing of the overlayer.

Gould also discloses the claimed invention in claims 12 except for that the layer disposed on the bonding layer (54) is not an epoxy. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the bonding layer, the epoxy layer (53) instead of metallized layer (54) since epoxy would adhere to silicone rubber elastomer layer (52) so the applicant's condition concerning the bonding layer is

Application/Control Number: 10/633,485

Art Unit: 2883

meet as stated on page 4 of the specification (other (nonmetallic or metallic) materials may be suitable for forming the bonding layer as long as it sufficiently forms a seal with a desired level of pressure resistance) and, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

The motivation for doing so would have been prevent microbends in the optical fibers by using the cured epoxy to conform precisely to the buffered fibers (column 3 lines 54-56).

8. Claims 16-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Gould and Diaz (US 4,135,587).

Gould teaches the cable seal described above with the limitation used to reject claims 1-15 and 19 including an insulating shell sleeve (1a with 10a) surrounding all of the regions and layers.

Gould does not teach expressly that the tube surrounding the first and third regions and housing surrounding the second region are conductive and form a continuous conductive path or a polyethylene insulating sleeve over these conductors.

Diaz teaches a seal housing for protect phone cables from external pressures (column 1 lines 32-46) comprising a housing (16, Fig. 7-8, 10-11) comprising multiple conductive polymer layers (31, 32a, 32b, 33a, 33b, 34a, 34b) (part polyethylene, column 9 lines 49-50) surrounding a portion of the cable (13).

Gould and Diaz are analogous art because they are from similar problem solving areas, protecting cables from high-pressure environments.

Art Unit: 2883

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have to tubes surrounding the regions as taught by Gould to be conductive polymers as taught by Diaz to create a continuous conductive path and because the applicant does not set any restraints on what the conductive materials should be fabricated from.

The motivation for doing so would have been to increase the integrity of the seal by using heat to deform the materials surrounding the cable to flow around the cable tightly (Diaz, column 2 lines 28-35).

#### **Conclusion**

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Hirschfeld (US 4,599,901) and (US 4,784,811) teach a pressure sensitive sensor comprising an optical fiber surrounded by a metallization layer and epoxy.
  - Arab-Sadeghabadi et al (US 6,744,965 B2) and (US 2002/0064367) teach a
    pressure vessel comprising an optical fiber surrounded by a metallization layer
    and epoxy agent in a pressure sensitive environment.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Lepisto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 9

supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Lepisto

Art Unit 2883

Date: 2/8/05

Frank Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Frank & Fort

Technology Center 2800